UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/822,060	04/08/2004	Eric R. Blomiley	MI22-2518	2095
21567 WELLS ST. JO	7590 12/24/200 OHN P.S.	8	EXAMINER	
601 W. FIRST	AVENUE, SUITE 130	0	MOORE, KARLA A	
SPOKANE, WA 99201			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1792	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/24/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/822,060	BLOMILEY ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	KARLA MOORE	1792
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tire I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 №</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 79-90 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 79-90 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	awn from consideration. or election requirement. er.	by the Eversine
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 April 2004</u> is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat* * See the attached detailed Office action for a list.	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicat Pority documents have been receive Tau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1108.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate

Application/Control Number: 10/822,060 Page 2

Art Unit: 1792

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 3. Claims 79-90 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's Admitted Prior Art (AAPA) (Figures 1-4 and paragraphs 2-9 of specification) in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,467,259 to Hume et al.
- 4. Regarding claim 79, AAPA discloses a semiconductor fabrication apparatus substantially as claimed and comprising: a substrate support (e.g. Figure 3, 12) assembly configured to support a substrate (14) for fabrication; a plurality of lamps (e.g. Figure 3, 18 and 22) positioned proximate a substrate; and a plurality of reflectors (30)

Application/Control Number: 10/822,060 Page 3

Art Unit: 1792

that do not extend below associated with the lamps, individual ones of the reflectors being associated with individual ones of the lamps, wherein at least a portion of the lamps are positioned between the reflectors and the substrate support assembly.

- 5. However, AAPA fails to teach the reflectors having a rugged, reflective surface.
- 6. Hume et al. teach providing a lamp with a rugged (i.e. multi-faceted), reflective surface for the purpose of designing a light source capable of directing light precisely, permitting highlighting and profiling and a well controlled beam of light and also for the purpose of allowing most of the heat from the light to pass backwards through the reflector whilst directing the beam forwards (column 1, rows 9-12 and column 2, rows 3-14).
- 7. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the Applicant's invention was made to have provided reflectors having a rugged, reflective surface in AAPA in order to design a light source capable of directing light precisely, permitting highlighting and profiling and a well controlled beam of light and also in order to allow most of the heat from the light to pass backwards through the reflector whilst directing the beam forwards as taught by Hume et al.
- 8. With respect to claims 80-82, in Figure 3 of AAPA, the lamps have a convex surface and the reflectors have a complimentary concave surface.
- 9. With respect to claims 83 and 84, Hume et al. teach providing the rugged reflective surface of the reflectors as a repeating honeycomb pattern. See Figures 1-3 and column 2, rows 3-14.

10. With respect to claim 85, in Figure 3 of AAPA, at least four lamps are associated with at least four reflectors.

- 11. With respect to claim 86, in Figure 2 of AAPA, it is disclosed that the plurality of lamps are positioned on opposing sides of the substrate.
- 12. With respect to claim 87, in Figures 2 and 3 of AAPA, it is disclosed how one opposing side of the substrate can be supported by a susceptor and a portion of the plurality of lamps can be positioned between the susceptor and a portion of the plurality of reflectors.
- 13. With respect to claim 88, in Figure 3 of AAPA, the plurality of lamps are comprised by both outer (18) and inner (22) lamps.
- 14. With respect to claim 89, in Figure 3 of AAPA, an entirety of the lamps reside below the reflectors.
- 15. With respect to claim 90, in Hume et al., while an interior surface facing the light source is patterned, an exterior surface is plain, smooth and unpatterned.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to KARLA MOORE whose telephone number is (571)272-1440. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9:00 am-6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Parviz Hassanzadeh can be reached on 571.272.1435. The fax phone

Application/Control Number: 10/822,060 Page 5

Art Unit: 1792

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Karla Moore/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1792